

Sasha Ames

# Motivation

- The amount and variety of data stored has grown much faster than existing file systems.
- Many applications keep their own metadata stores, e.g. iTunes, e-mail clients, etc. These systems: – are application-specific
- add significant complexity
- It is often easier to search the web than the local file system
- -Web search engines such as Google can take advantage of a rich hyperlink structure. - Similar contextual data in the filesystem would enhance local file searches.

# LiFS

- Incorporating rich metadata into the filesystem itself simplifies sharing between applications.
- Applications need only create metadata, not store it.
- LiFS (The Linking FileSystem) introduces the relational link as a unit of file metadata. -Link files with  $\langle key, value \rangle$  pairs expressing their relationship.
- Traditional directories are 0-byte files with outgoing links.



A compiler dependency graph represented with relational links

# LiFS: An Attribute-Rich File System for Storage Class Memories

### Nikhil Bobb

Scott A. Brandt

#### Carlos Maltzahn

Ethan L. Miller

Storage Systems Research Center Computer Science Department University of California, Santa Cruz

# Design

- In-kernel implementation of LiFS • Designed to use non-volatile memory for metadata storage - Allows for better comparison with exis - Fast storage-class memories provide speed necessary for searching rich metadata structures - Because FUSE is designed around exist - Random access memories allow for simpler, efficient code • Take advantage of relational links in file \* Linked lists store objects rather than trying to lay out structures on a disk block \* A string table saves space and allows for easy string comparisons -What kinds of new queries can users - How should searching be presented to \* Existing approaches often use /search/owner:john/type:mp3/ last • Can LiFS metadata be stored with other storage technologies? first anode — LiFS on disk key key value value - LiFS in flash \* Different flash technologies have different access properties \* Flash has a limited number of writes per block "editor" - "author" "chefsteve" \* To change data, an entire block must be erased and rewritten string table - LiFS implemented using extended attributes 1-----\* System would be backwards-compatible A set of attributes from a link or file \* Standard xattr interface is inefficient



### • Several new system calls have been introduced to manipulate relational links

System call	Function
rellink	Create a new relational link between files
rmlink	Remove a relational link between files
setlinkattr	Set attributes on an existing link betwee
openlinkset	Returns an identifier for a set of links fro
readlinkset	Fills in standard directory entry structure
	for the next link in a set
	1

# Implementation

- LiFS was implemented through FUSE (Filesystem in Userspace) - Prototype LiFS without complexity of in-kernel development
  - Even with significant performance overhead of FUSE, LiFS' performance is competitive with existing kernelspace filesystems

Kevin Greenan

Owen Hofmann

- en files rom a source file with link name and attributes





- Many different paths can exist to a single file
- Allowing path to modify file access is a powerful tool — Security
- \* Providing users links to files might replace complex ACLs – Modifying file data
- \* Individual links might serve to compress/encrypt file data
- \* Files might be defined as an aggregation of linked files



Mark Storer

Future Work

sting filesystems sting POSIX interfaces, adding new functionality is difficult.									
e searches									
take advantage of?									
o the user?									
e /	directory	components	as	search	terms,	e.g.			

\* Seek time of disk accesses makes searching link structures difficult \* A number of graph indexing solutions exist which might make LiFS on disk possible

An ACL implemented using relational links